

Frederick Loeser & Co.

IMPORTING RETAILERS, BROOKLYN.

The Furniture Opportunity of the Year

25 to 50 Per Cent. Below Market Rates.

Last February we inaugurated with the Lockwood Stock what proved to be the greatest furniture selling ever known in this part of the country. For months past we have been preparing to outdo in every respect that wonderful sale, and have visited every maker of reliable furniture East and West. The result will be placed before you

MONDAY MORNING

in the form of the grandest collection of furniture of every grade, from the plainest to the very finest, ever collected under one roof, at from

25 to 50 Per Cent. Below the Very Lowest Market Rates.

This is not a sale of a few special items, but it includes every conceivable kind of furniture, all new, fresh and desirable, and at prices that have never before been thought possible.

Bedroom Sets, Parlor Suites, Parlor Tables, Sideboards,
China Closets, Extension Tables, Hatstands, Couches,
Easy Chairs, Bookcases, Dressing Tables, Chiffonniers,
Cheval Glasses, Dining Chairs, Fancy Rockers, Desks.

Every article included in this sale will be plainly marked with a red ticket.

Frederick Loeser & Co.

NATIONAL GUARD NOTES.

MOBILIZATION OF THE ARTILLERY—INFORMATION ABOUT THE VARIOUS REGIMENTS.

General Howard Carroll's dinner to the artillery officers of the State at Delmonico's was a most elaborate affair. There was a double object in bringing the officers together from all parts of the State. General Carroll wanted to entertain them, but he wanted also to feel the pulse of the State's artillery on the practice march of the united artillery forces—a pet scheme of his. As far as could be learned, the officers were all heartily in favor of the mobilization and the other points in General Carroll's programme, and if the money can be secured to carry out the plan, the men will be on hand. The dinner which followed the meeting will give those who attended it food for conversation for many a day. It was all that could be expected, even from so good a host as General Howard Carroll.

The Kemp and Clark trophies have been won by Company F, of the 12th Regiment, after a stubborn contest, which brought out creditable scores. The sixth company's score of 168 was only two points ahead of the second company's score. At the close of the match the record stood:

Company	Kemp trophy.	Clark trophy.
Company F.....	168	206
Company B.....	167	197
Company H.....	165	192
Company G.....	164	189
Company D.....	163	184
Company K.....	162	180
Company C.....	161	179
Company A.....	160	178
Company E.....	159	177

Captain George Rand is justly proud of the work done by his command. In the Covel match, open to teams of six men from each company, five shots each standing and prone, at 200 and 500 yards, the sixth company also came out ahead. This was the score:

Company	200 yds.	500 yds.	Total
Company F.....	139	130	269
Company B.....	137	127	264
Company H.....	135	125	260
Company G.....	134	124	258
Company D.....	133	123	256
Company K.....	132	122	254
Company C.....	131	121	252
Company A.....	130	120	250
Company E.....	129	119	248

The programme for the ninth company's dramatic entertainment, which will take place on March 27 and 28, is being completed by the energetic members of the Dramatic Association. "The Prisoner of Zenda," a burlesque on "The Prisoner of Zenda," will be performed. The following members will take part: Messrs. Nelson, Preston, Martin, McAlpin, Wall, Hatch, Little, Ashforth, Stewart and Patterson. The play was written by Guy Wetmore Carryl, of the tenth company.

THE 8TH REGIMENT NOW.

The slight delay in mustering in the additional companies of the 8th Battalion did not interfere with the original programme, and the battalion was reorganized as a regiment. It was reviewed as a regiment by General Fitzgerald at the special celebration on Friday evening. There was a large attendance at the ceremony. The command turned out with full ranks. General Fitzgerald was accompanied by a number of members of his staff. Immediately after the review there was an election

of officers, which resulted, as was expected, in the choice of Henry Chauncey, Jr., as colonel. General Fitzgerald presided at the election. The other officers elected were: Lieutenant-colonel, Knight Nefel, and majors, James Morgan Jarvis and Henry G. Ridabock. Long service and 100 per cent decorations were presented before the command was dismissed. Captain Thomas M. Young, the senior captain of the regiment, received a twenty-years' service medal. Ordnance Sergeant W. E. Lavagh, Sergeant-Major William F. Germain, Captain J. W. Mason and First Sergeant William Eckenfelder received the 25-years' decoration. The 8th, 2d

Brigman has been appointed chaplain of the regiment.

Colonel Chauncey entered the service of the State March 1, 1883, as first lieutenant of Company G, 8th Regiment. He became major of the battalion September 6, 1885. Those who would rather see trouble in the National Guard than peace and harmony are at it once more, and the 8th Regiment is made the scene of their attack. The alleged disturbance at the entertainment given by Company G is being raked over once more, and all efforts are being made to place the regiment in a bad light before the public. Recruits in the 8th Regiment have been fair, the new army is rapidly nearing completion, everything about the command looks prosperous, but the scandal-mongers are doing their best, or worst, to keep good men from enlisting. Major Japha will review the first battalion on Thursday. The second battalion will be reviewed by Major Lorkan on the evening of March 12. First Sergeant Mahoney has been elected second lieutenant of Company A, to fill the vacancy caused by the death of Lieutenant Shea.

A POSSIBLE ARTILLERY REGIMENT.

The committee appointed by the Board of Officers of the 12th Regiment to petition the Adjutant-General to reorganize the command into an artillery regiment, has reported that General McAlpin has received the communication, and will forward it to the Adjutant-General. It is not known whether the Adjutant-General has intimated the request favorably.

Company A, 22d Regiment, Captain D. J. Murphy, will have an informal reception at the armory on the evening of March 16. In the competition for the Sims trophy Private Edward Lawrence Purdy, of Company A, made the best score.

Colonel Chauncey, of the 8th Regiment, has appointed B. J. Kraft, hospital steward, Company A, to have a dinner at the Park Avenue Hotel on March 8. The second battalion will be reviewed by Colonel Greene on Tuesday evening. First Lieutenant C. A. Mather and Second Lieutenant T. C. Tiffney passed the examining board. Second Lieutenant Alexander S. Williams and First Lieutenant W. Meeks were before the examining board at the same time, and passed a highly creditable examination.

Adjutant Stephen E. Hart has issued the new regimental roster, which, like that of last year, is a neat and handy little volume. The books of the 23d Regiment will be inspected by Colonel Greene, of General McAlpin's staff, on February 25.

The annual games of Squadron A will take place at the new armory, Newburgh, on Saturday, March 1, on the evening of March 1. A long and interesting programme has been arranged.

Tiffany passed the examining board. Second Lieutenant Alexander S. Williams and First Lieutenant W. Meeks were before the examining board at the same time, and passed a highly creditable examination.

"Sheridan's Ride" at the 1st Regiment armory, it

is one of the finest of the regiment's collection of bronzes, and is the gift of Colonel F. V. Greene.

SHERIDAN.

EXPLOSION OF A RANGE.

TWO MEN HURT IN A DANCING PAVILION AT ONE-HUNDRED-AND-SEVENTEENTH-ST. AND AMSTERDAM-AVE.

The firebrack of a large, eighteen-foot range, in the kitchen of Jacob Gutting's Cosmopolitan Park, at One-hundred-and-seventeenth-st. and Amsterdam-ave., exploded yesterday morning and blew out the windows of the kitchen, and injured Henry Jacoby, twenty-seven years old, of No. 59 Washington-ave., Brooklyn, the cook, and James Fluehrich, twenty-three years old, of No. 42 Livingston-st., a helper.

The park had been closed since last summer until yesterday, when the Washington Rangers were to have had a supper and dance. Gutting procured help and yesterday morning went to work to prepare for the occasion.

The cook did not think about the water pipes being frozen. The fire in the range had just got started when the range blew up with a roar like a blast. Bricks and mortar were hurled from the chimney all over the room, smashing doors, windows and furniture, and the two men, who were standing only a few yards away, were struck about the head by the flying bricks, and sustained several wounds each. The flying coals set fire to the floor in several places, but Jacoby extinguished the flames with a pail of water.

The kitchen is in the basement of the large dancing pavilion, and had not the fire been quickly doused, the whole structure would have been destroyed.

Fuehrich was so badly injured that he was compelled to go home, but Jacoby remained on duty, and the Rangers had a cold supper, as the kitchen and range were wrecked.

BENCH AND BAR.

GATHERED AMONG LAWYERS AND IN THE COURTS.

Justice Rufus W. Peckham in his judicial robes has been a familiar figure to many New-York lawyers, but his appearance in the city last week was in a different capacity, and he was greeted with the enthusiasm of many of the prominent members of the bar. The interest taken by the general public in court proceedings on appeal even in the highest courts was shown by the fact that after the first day's session the courtroom where Justice Peckham and his associates sat had in it less than half a dozen persons, except those connected with the case which was heard, even when Joseph H. Choate, the leader of the active bar, made one of the principal arguments. The case in which Mr. Choate appeared is one branch of the extensive litigation over the affairs of an express company of which Mr. Glenn was an officer. The "Glenn cases," as they are known in the United States courts, have been numerous, closely contested and involved in technicalities. Mr. Choate in his argument called the attention of the Court to the technical nature of some of the points raised and brought a smile to the faces of the judges by saying that it was as technical on his side as on the other, and that he intended to yield in astuteness and ingenuity to the counsel on the other side.

Of the many cases decided last week by the Court of Appeals, all except three were affirmances or modifications of the judgments of the lower courts. The proportion of reversals was much less than has been the average at previous sittings of the court. Among the cases decided were several accident suits, some of them arising from peculiar circumstances. In one case a streetcar left the track and ran against an elevated railroad pillar, injuring one of the passengers. In another case a partition between two coal bins fell down and caused injury to one of the workmen; another decision affirmed the right of a passenger to go upon a crowded platform when a car stopped for him, so that he was pressed by the invitation of the company. The decision of the General Term in the case of *Faxon against Mason* was among those affirmed. It arose from an alleged fraudulent settlement made by a husband and wife. Both husband and wife testified that at their marriage the husband gave them a sum of money, which he afterwards gave her all books, including those which were necessary for his own business use. Even his judgment, but that some merits of protection from Justice Van Brunt, in his General Term opinion, wondered why he did not give her the shirt he wore as well as the hat, and the fact that the assignment was largely disregarded. Several of the other cases were important.

De Lancey Nicoll, who enjoys an unusual degree of the confidence and respect of the members of the bench and of the bar of New-York, is entitled to credit for his earnest attempt to show in the *Levy* insanity proceedings that a lawyer practicing in court is entitled to protection from about the power of the Judge to punish for contempt of court. Arguments between counsel are sometimes carried on in too warm a spirit, and a disappointed auditor may occasionally use expressions in condemnation of the opposing lawyer without great injury except to the most sensitive feelings. When, however, a violent attack is made upon the fair dealing of a lawyer, in almost every case, the decision in open court of tampering with a jury, it might as well be a matter of course that the court should take notice. Whether or not the present law provide for the punishment of an offender in such a case as a matter which was left to the Justice's judgment, but that some merits of protection from the dignity of the profession is felt by many lawyers. A lawyer, from his position in court, cannot reply with the warmth which he may feel, or otherwise react, as he would in another place, the fact of a stranger. As he is bound to exercise care in his own actions, he would seem to be entitled to protection from attacks which he is not allowed to retaliate except by denial. Mr. Nicoll's line standing at the bar makes it fitting that he should be among the first to ask that judicial action should be taken where that which seemed to be a violent attack upon his honor and reputation was made in open court.

The litigation over *Lloyds Insurance* policies now occupies a considerable part of the time of the courts. The legal questions which have arisen are intricate, but important. In almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against the validity of the provisions inserted in the *Lloyds* policies restricting the right of suit by policyholders. Justice Peckham spoke with warmth in his decision rendered last week in one of these cases. "Defences of a technical character," he says, "are interposed by the underwriters under almost every case, the decision has been against